## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. 2:07CR219

JARED CANTU,

Defendant.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING GUILTY PLEA

Defendant, by consent, has appeared before the undersigned pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and referral from a United States District Judge and has entered a guilty plea to aiding and abetting marriage fraud, in violation of 8 § U.S.C. 1325(a) & 2. Defendant is also charged with conspiracy (Count 1), marriage fraud (Count 3), making a false statement relating to naturalization and citizenship (Count 4), and unlawful procurement of naturalization (Count 5), in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371; 8 U.S.C. § 1325(c); and 18 U.S.C. §§ 1015(a) and 1425(a). Defendant understands that these charges will be dismissed upon acceptance of his guilty plea, and the United States confirmed defendant's understanding.

On February 28, 2008, defendant appeared before the Court for the purpose of entering his guilty plea. He was represented by appointed counsel, Jason Alan Dunn, Esquire. Defendant was appropriate in appearance, responsive, and competently prepared for the hearing. He answered all questions put to him in clear and concise language. On those occasions when he had a question, defendant consulted with counsel and then promptly answered. Defendant was courteous and appropriate in his behavior at all times and clearly understood the seriousness of his position. At

the close of the proceeding, defendant was permitted to remain on bond,

pending completion of a presentence report.

Defendant is twenty-six years of age, completed high school and

technical school, and speaks English as his native language. There was no

evidence that defendant was on drugs, alcohol, or medication which might

impair his judgment. He was cooperative throughout the proceeding.

Defendant entered his guilty plea pursuant to a plea agreement.

The Court is completely satisfied, based upon defendant's responses, that

he fully appreciates his position. Furthermore, he acknowledged that the

statement of facts prepared in anticipation of his plea accurately reflects

the government's evidence, in the event of trial.

After cautioning and examining defendant under oath concerning

each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, the Court determined that the

offense charged is supported by independent facts, establishing each of the

essential elements of such offense. Therefore, the Court recommends that

the guilty plea be accepted and that defendant be adjudged guilty and have

sentence imposed accordingly.

Failure to file written objections to this report and

recommendation within ten days from the date of its service shall bar an

aggrieved party from attacking such report and recommendation before the

assigned United States District Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

/s/

James E. Bradberry

United States Magistrate Judge

Norfolk, Virginia

February 29, 2008

2